Names:	Date:
--------	-------

## Commonwealth of PA vs. Puck Theory Outline

Directions: Use this resource to plan out your **theory** (the story of the case you want to tell to find Puck either guilty or not guilty). For each side, provide 2-3 big ideas, mentioning the statements that help you prove that point. Below that, plan out responses that will challenge the other side's theory by doing the same (2-3 big ideas, plus the statements that help you

## THE CHARGE:

The accused did surreptitiously or by means of fraud, misrepresentation, suppression of truth, deception, or subterfuge, cause any another person to unknowingly consume or receive the direct administration of any controlled substance as defined in section 18-18-102 (18 Pa.C.S. § 116) when the accused gave the drug from the pansy flower to Lysander Principe.

Prosecution:	Defense:	
Puck SHOULD be found guilty of the above charge because	Puck SHOULD NOT be found guilty of the above charge because	
How will you disprove the defense's argument in the top right quadrant?	How will you disprove the prosecution's argument in the top left quadrant?	